

Application No. 10/023,354
Amendment dated
After Final Office Action of August 16, 2005

Docket No.: 65890-0002

REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed the final office action dated August 16, 2005, and thanks Examiner Hartman for his indication of allowable subject matter and review of the pending claims. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9-21 and 23-31 were allowed. Claim 32 was rejected. Claim 22 has been amended and claim 32 has been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-31 remain pending in this application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the above amendment and the following remarks.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claim 32 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Duemler (U.S. Patent No. 6,535,786). Applicant has cancelled claim 32 and therefore the rejection is moot. Claim 22, which wasn't rejected or allowed in the office action, has been amended to depend from allowable claim 21.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. 65890-0002 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: 9/22/05

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Kristin L. Murphy

Registration No.: 41,212
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Avenue, Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
(248) 594-0647
Attorney for Applicant